*Applied Biological Research* 21(3): 235-244; (2019) DOI: 10.5958/0974-4517.2019.00030.2

# **BIOASSESSMENT OF RIVER GANGA IN UTTARAKHAND STRETCH (INDIA) USING BENTHIC MACRO-INVERTEBRATES AS BIOINDICATOR**

Sanjeev Agrawal<sup>1\*</sup>, Jaya Sharma<sup>2</sup> and Annu Goel<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Bio-Science Division, Central Pollution Control Board (CPCB), New Delhi - 110 032 (India) <sup>2</sup>Biological Testing Laboratory, CPCB, New Delhi (India) \**e mail: *sanjeevagrawal.cpcb@gmail.com; sanjeevcpcb@yahoo.co.in*

(Received 20 May, 2019; accepted 11 November, 2019)

# **ABSTRACT**

**The sedentary lives of macro-invertebrates enable them to act as continuous monitor of water quality of freshwater bodies. Some specific families of benthic macro-invertebrate fauna belonging particularly to the Order Ephemeroptera, Trichoptera, Plecoptera, Hemiptera, Coleoptera, Diptera, Molllusca, Crustacea, Odonata, Hirudinea, Oligochaeta, Polychaeta and Planaria have been recognized as bioindicator of water quality by Biological Monitoring Working Party (BMWP). The present study was carried out to explore the taxonomic richness of macro-zoobenthos found in various habitats and biological water quality of river Ganga in Uttarakhand stretch of India at 3 locations** *viz***., Haridwar barrage, Jagjeetpur sewage treatment plant (STP) upstream and downstream. The samples were collected twice a year to elucidate seasonal variation in taxa occurrence. A total of 1,560 individuals belonging to 32 families and 12 taxonomic orders were recorded. Biological water quality at Haridwar barrage was found to be clean in both the seasons. Direct discharge of untreated sewage from Jagjeetpur STP had profound effect on the biota of river and lead to community replacement at downstream of discharge. Dominant Ephemeroptera colonies were replaced by Diptera family Chironomidae at this location and thereby showed decline in biological water quality.**

**Keywords:** Bioindicators, biological water quality, benthic macroinvertebrates, saprobity, taxonomic richness

### **INTRODUCTION**

The Ganga is one of the prime rivers of India. It originates as 'Bhagirathi' from Gangotri glaciers in the Himalayas at an elevation of about 7010 m in Uttarkashi district of Uttarakhand (India) and flows through a total length of about 2525 km up to its outfall into the Bay of Bengal through the former main course of Bhagirathi-Hooghly. The river water is used for drinking purpose, power generation, irrigation, fish production and religious pilgrimages. Presently, it is under constant threat of pollution by municipal and industrial waste, disposal of dead bodies, deforestation, excessive use of fertilizers and pesticides, bathing and religious pilgrimages.

The water quality of river Ganga is constantly monitored at various locations based on the physicochemical and bacterial parameters including biochemical oxygen demand (BOD), chemical oxygen demand (COD), dissolved oxygen (DO), temperature, pH, total coliforms, faecal coliforms, etc. which provide momentary account of water quality i.e. the water quality that prevails at that particular time of monitoring. Biological monitoring, on the other hand, has much longer dimension

since the aquatic biota can be affected by chemical and/or hydrological events that may have lasted only a few days, some months or even years before monitoring was carried out (Akolkar *et al*., 2017a).

A systematic method of collecting qualitative and quantitative information about the environment by physicochemical and biological methods is called environmental monitoring. Biomonitoring is an ecological exercise where various kinds of biota called bioindicators are considered in ascertaining the extent of pollution in a water body (Sharma and Sharma, 2010). A bioindicator is an organism (or part of an organism or a community of organisms) that furnishes the information on the quality of environment or a part of environment (Markert *et al*., 2003). An ideal indicator, therefore, should have the characteristics of: a) taxonomic soundness (easy to be recognized by non-specialist); b) wide or cosmopolitan distribution; c) low mobility (local indication); d) wellknown ecological characteristics; e) numerical abundance; f) suitability for laboratory experiments; g) high sensitivity to environmental stressor(s); and h) high ability for quantification and standardization (Füreder and Reynolds, 2003). Benthic macro-invertebrates serve as biological indicators of water quality as they are ubiquitous in nature and cover wide habitat and area. A large number of species cover a wide spectrum of pollution and pollutants; while sedentary lives of macroinvertebrates allow elucidation of temporal changes (continuous monitoring). The present study was aimed to explore the taxonomic richness of benthic macro-invertebrates and biological water quality of river Ganga in Uttarakhand (India).

# **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### *Site description*

The present study was conducted on river Ganga in Uttarakhand state (India). Three sampling stations *viz*., Haridwar barrage, Jagjeetpur STP upstream and Jagjeetpur STP downstream were selected to study the diversity and taxonomic richness of benthic macroinvertebrates in summer (April, 2017) and winter (February, 2018) seasons of year 2017-18. Geographical locations of sampling stations (Fig. 1) were: Haridwar barrage (at Bhimgauda barrage, first gate of Upper Ganga canal 29.95854° N and 78.17847° E), Jagjeetpur STP upstream (upstream Jagjeetpur STP drain outlet, 29.899040° N and 78.141413° E) and Jagjeetpur STP downstream (near Ajeetpur village, 29.887070° N and 78.139573° E).



**Fig. 1: Map of river Ganges at Haridwar barrage and sampling locations** 

#### *Benthic macro-invertebrate collection, sorting and preservation*

The sampling procedure was equivalently distributed over all the possible aquatic habitats including the bed substrate (stones, sand or mud), macrophytes (floating, submerged, emerged), immersed roots of overhanging trees and all other natural or artificial substrates, floating or submerged in the water. In Uttarakhand, benthic macro-invertebrates sampling involved lifting and brushing of stones as the substratum is mainly composed of boulders, cobbles and pebbles. The small stones were picked up randomly and swiftly from the river and the organisms were collected by brushing off or using soft forceps pins; whereas fauna from the large rocks, lying under water, was collected by brushing off directly into the net placed firmly on to the stream bed. Samples were sieved using  $600 \mu$  mesh size round sieve (International Standard ISO 10870:2012). The organisms were collected in 250 mL reagent grade plastic bottle and preserved in 4% formalin. Preserved samples were brought to the Bioscience Division, CPCB, New Delhi for further identification.

## *Identification of benthic macro-invertebrates*

As broad taxonomic classifications are acceptable when empirical relationships involving benthic macro-invertebrates are to be developed, the fauna was identified up to the family level using stereozoom microscope. The distribution of different families was calculated on percentage basis. Mollusca, Crustacea and Annelida were identified using the taxonomic key developed by Nessemann *et al.* (2007). Ephemeroptera, Trichoptera, Plecoptera, Hemiptera, Coleoptera, Diptera, Odonata and Planaria taxa were identified using the reference keys of Zwart and Trivedi (1995), Jessup *et al*. (2003), Graf *et al*. (2006) and Akolkar *et al.* (2017b).

#### *Biological water quality assessment*

Biological water quality was assessed by using 2 indices: saprobic score and diversity score using bio-assessment field protocol as developed by CPBC, New Delhi (India) in Indo-Dutch project. Saprobic score is a measure of taxonomic composition whereas diversity score is a measure of taxonomic richness of riverine ecosystem. Each taxonomic family is given a score on the scale of 1 to 10 by BMWP (Biological Monitoring Working Party). Saprobic and diversity scores were calculated after the identification of benthic macroinvertebrates. Further, biological water quality of all the three locations of Uttarakhand was determined using Biological Water Quality Criteria (BWQC) (Source: Central Pollution Control Board) which is based on the range of saprobic and diversity score values as under:



#### *Physicochemical parameters*

Physicochemical parameters *viz*., dissolved oxygen (DO), water temperature and flow were recorded at the time of sample collection. Dissolved oxygen was estimated using the standards methods of water and wastewater analysis (APHA, 2000). Water temperature was measured using a calibrated thermometer. Water flow  $(m \sec^{-1})$  was estimated by measuring the time taken by floating object (plastic ball) over a set distance of 10 m (approx.).

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

A total of 1560 individuals belonging to 34 families and 12 taxonomic orders were recorded at the

| Families            | Haridwar Barrage |                | Jagjeetpur STP u/s |                | Jagjeetpur STP d/s |                | Total                    |  |
|---------------------|------------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------------|--|
|                     | April, 2017      | Feb., 2018     | April, 2017        | Feb., 2018     | April, 2017        | Feb., 2018     |                          |  |
| Heptagenidae        | 64               | 70             | 02                 | 19             | 00                 | 00             | 155                      |  |
| Baetidae            | 03               | $00\,$         | $00\,$             | 00             | 00                 | $00\,$         | 3                        |  |
| Hydroptilidae       | 01               | 14             | 07                 | 01             | 06                 | $00\,$         | 29                       |  |
| Ceratopoganidae     | 23               | $00\,$         | $00\,$             | 00             | 00                 | $00\,$         | 23                       |  |
| Ephemerilidae       | 28               | 07             | $00\,$             | 00             | 00                 | $00\,$         | 35                       |  |
| Chironomidae        | 01               | 54             | 04                 | 48             | 126                | 260            | 493                      |  |
| Siphloneuridae      | 65               | 03             | 63                 | 00             | 00                 | $00\,$         | 131                      |  |
| Leptophlebidae      | 01               | 90             | $00\,$             | 00             | 00                 | $00\,$         | 91                       |  |
| Leptoceridae        | 01               | 00             | $00\,$             | 01             | 00                 | 00             | $\overline{2}$           |  |
| Planorbidae         | 06               | $00\,$         | 09                 | 04             | 00                 | $00\,$         | 19                       |  |
| Hydropsychidae      | 26               | 53             | 57                 | 55             | 57                 | $00\,$         | 248                      |  |
| Glossiphonidae      | 03               | $00\,$         | 10                 | 00             | 17                 | $00\,$         | 30                       |  |
| Brachycentridae     | 01               | 00             | $00\,$             | 00             | 00                 | 00             | 1                        |  |
| Neoephemeridae      | 01               | $00\,$         | $00\,$             | 00             | 00                 | 00             | 1                        |  |
| Caenidae            | 01               | 51             | 01                 | 08             | 00                 | 00             | 61                       |  |
| Gomphidae           | 01               | $00\,$         | 01                 | 01             | 00                 | 00             | 3                        |  |
| Physidae            | 01               | 0 <sup>0</sup> | 10                 | 0 <sub>0</sub> | 0 <sub>0</sub>     | 51             | 62                       |  |
| Aphelocheridae      | 00               | 00             | 64                 | 00             | 00                 | 00             | 64                       |  |
| Isonychiidae        | $00\,$           | $00\,$         | 01                 | 00             | 00                 | 00             | $\mathbf{1}$             |  |
| Tipulidae           | $00\,$           | $00\,$         | 02                 | 00             | 00                 | $00\,$         | $\overline{2}$           |  |
| Limonidae           | 00               | 03             | $00\,$             | 01             | 00                 | 0 <sub>0</sub> | $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$ |  |
| Unionidae           | $00\,$           | 01             | $00\,$             | 00             | 00                 | 00             | 1                        |  |
| Culicidae           | 00               | 01             | $00\,$             | 03             | 00                 | 08             | 12                       |  |
| Ameletidae          | 00               | 10             | $00\,$             | 42             | 00                 | $00\,$         | 52                       |  |
| Ephemeridae         | 00               | 03             | 00                 | 01             | 00                 | 00             | $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$ |  |
| Corrydelidae        | 00               | 01             | $00\,$             | 00             | 00                 | $00\,$         | 1                        |  |
| Potamidae           | 00               | 01             | 00                 | 00             | 00                 | 00             | 1                        |  |
| Belastomatidae      | $00\,$           | $00\,$         | $00\,$             | 01             | 00                 | 00             | $\mathbf{1}$             |  |
| Hydrophilidae larva | 00               | 00             | $00\,$             | 01             | 0 <sub>0</sub>     | 00             | $\mathbf{1}$             |  |
| Dytiscidae larva    | $00\,$           | $00\,$         | $00\,$             | 03             | 00                 | 00             | 3                        |  |
| Duggesidae          | $00\,$           | $00\,$         | $00\,$             | 07             | 00                 | 00             | 7                        |  |
| Salifidae           | $00\,$           | $00\,$         | $00\,$             | 00             | 00                 | 01             | $\mathbf{1}$             |  |
| Naididae            | $00\,$           | $00\,$         | $00\,$             | 00             | 00                 | 12             | 12                       |  |
| Simulidae           | 00               | $00\,$         | $00\,$             | 06             | 00                 | 00             | 6                        |  |
| Total               | 227              | 362            | 231                | 202            | 206                | 332            | 1560                     |  |

**Table 1: Distribution of taxonomic families of micro-invertebrates at selected sampling locations of river Ganga in Uttarakhand** 

three sampling sites during summer and winter seasons (Table 1). At Haridwar barrage, pre-monsoon sampling revealed 17 benthic macroinvertebrate families comprising of 12 families from order Ephemeroptera, 1 from Diptera, 2 from Mollusca and 1 each from Hirudinea and Odonata. Families Heptagenidae (28.19%) and Siphloneuridae (28.6%) were dominant at this location (Fig. 2). Postmonsoon sampling revealed the presence of 15 families of which 10 families belonged to Ephemeroptera order, 3 families to Diptera and 1 each to Crustacea, Coleoptera and Mollusca. In this phase, family Leptophlebidae (24.86%) was dominant, followed by Siphloneuridae (19.34%), Chironomidae (14.92%), Hydropsychidae (14.64%) and Caenidae (14.08%).

At Jagjeetpur STP upstream, 13 macro-invertebrate families were observed in pre-monsoon samples comprising of 6 families from Ephemeroptera, 2 from Diptera, 1 each from Odonata and Coleoptera and 2 from Mollusca. Of these families, Hydropsychidae, Siphloneuridae and Aphelocheridae were abundant with 24.7, 27.3 and 27.7% dominance, respectively (Fig. 3a). On the same



**Fig. 2: Dominance (%) of taxonomic families at Haridwar barrage; (left side) pre-monsoon; (right side) post-monsoon**

location in post-monsoon season 15 families were found which belonged to Ephemeroptera (7), Diptera (4), Hemiptera (1), Planaria (1), Odonata (1) and Mollsuca (1). Comparatively, in summer season the occurrence of Chironomidae enhanced from 1.73 to 23.76% whereas other dominant families Hydropsychidae and Ameletidae showed 27.2 and 20.8% dominance, respectively (Fig. 3b). Mishra *et al.* (2013) studied distributional patterns of benthic macro-invertebrate fauna in glacier-fed rivers of Indian Himalaya and reported the dominance of family Heptageniidae (Ephemeroptera) in





river Chandra and Bhaga or in combination with Chironomidae (Diptera) in similar proportions in river Chenab or Diptera alone in river Miyar, Leptoceridae in combination with Limnephilidae in Alaknanada at Tapovan and Heptageniidae and Baetidae in Alaknanada at Mana. They found partial similarity between Mandakini and Alaknanda by virtue of abundant Limnephilidae and Bhagirathi was characterized by abundance of Philopotamidae. Karrouch *et al*. (2017) reported highest numbers of Ephemeroptera taxa at upstream location of Boufekrane river in Morocco and complete absence at the downstream location of anthropogenic activities. Huh (2019) investigated the community analysis and water quality of benthic macro-invertebrates at Gwangseok stream, Jinhae city in Korea and reported 781 individuals belonged to 19 species, 14 families, 8 orders, 5 classes and 3 phyla.

At Jagjeetpur STP downstream, four benthic macroinvertebrates families *viz*., Hydroptilidae, Chirono-midae, Hydropsychidae and Glossiphonidae were recorded in pre-monsoon sampling (Fig. 4a). A sharp increase in Chironomidae abundance (61.61%) at this location may be attributed to the direct discharge of untreated sewage from STP outlet to the mainstream of river Ganga.

Hydropsychidae, Glossi-phonidae and Hydroptilidae showed 7.66, 8.25 and 2.92% occurrence (Fig. 4a). In post-monsoon samples a total of 5 families were encountered which comprised of taxa Diptera, Mollusca, Oligochaeta and Hirudinea. Oligochaetes (3.61%) were present in post-monsoon sampling at this location only. However, Chironomidae family was most abundant in this season with 78.31% dominance. Physidae, Culicidae and Salifidae were present with 15.36, 2.31 and 0.3% dominance (Fig. 4b). Boyle and Fraleigh (2003) reported that a high density of oligochaetes is a good indicator of organic pollution. Martin *et al*. (2008) reported increased densities of Oligochaeta specifically *Limnodrillus hoffmeisteri* in an urban stream in southeast Brazil which served as an indication of organic pollution. Li *et al.* (2010) reported Chironomidae family as the most tolerant



**Fig. 4: Dominance (%) of taxonomic families of microinvertebrates at Haridwar STP downstream; a) premonsoon (b) post-monsoon**

group. Karrouch *et al.* (2017) studied the impact of anthropogenic activities on the distribution and biodiversity of benthic macro-invertebrates and consequently on water quality of Boufekrane river (Mekens) and reported significantly higher number of microbenthic taxa, overall richness indices and diversity indices at upstream location as compared to that of downstream location. They reported the dominance of 126 taxa at upstream while only 26 taxa were found at downstream locations.

Riverbed substratum is of utmost importance because it allows the selective colonization of benthic macroinvertebrates thereby determines the overall taxonomic structure of location. In present study, the riverbed substratum mainly composed of boulders, cobbles, pebbles and gravels at all the



#### **Fig. 5: Composition of riverbed substratum of Ganga at Haridwar Uttarakhand (India)**

selected locations and thus is suitable for the colonization of Ephemeroptera, Trichoptera and Plecoptera. Substratum at Haridwar barrage composed of 25% boulders, 40% cobbles, 15% pebbles, 10% gravels and 5% each of sand and detritus. At Jagjeetpur STP upstream, river bed composed of 25% boulders, 40% cobbles, 10% pebbles, 10% gravels and 5% each of sand, silt, detritus whereas at Jagjeetpur STP downstream substratum composed of 45% boulders, 30% cobbles and 25% pebbles (Fig. 5). Kumar (2014) studied the qualitative and quantitative abundance of aquatic entomofauna in glacial fed mountainous Goriganga river of Kumaun Himalaya Uttarakhand (India) and reported that aquatic insects were generally found concealed under stones, gravel and rocks. Most specifically, stone flies (Plecoptera) were present in the riffles and Odonata (dragon flies) and Coleoptera (water beetles) in slow and stagnant conditions. Others such as mayflies (Ephemeroptera), Caddisflies (Trichoptera) and trueflies (Diptera) were common to both the habitats. Kubendran *et al*. (2017) reported most species of Baetidae family such as *Baetis acceptus, B. conservatus, B. frequentus, Indobaetis michaelohubbardi, Labiobaetis geminatus, L. pulchellum, L. soldani, L. jacobusi, and L. vera* were predominantly associated with pebbles substrates, but *L. geminatus, L. pulchellum, L. soldani* and *Nigrobaetis paramakalyani* were predominantly associated with grasses, two species *Chopralla ceylonensis* and *C. similis* were primarily attached with rocks and two species, namely *Cloeon bimaculatum* and *Procloeon regularum* were found in pool litter substrate.

The temperature of river water was almost constant at  $21^{\circ}$ C in pre-monsoon phase and dropped down by approximately  $2^{\circ}C$  in the following monsoon at all the locations (Table 2). Decrease in water temperature may be attributed to changes in weather conditions. Water flow was observed to be 0.43, 0.97 and 1.01 m sec<sup>-1</sup> in pre-monsoon season, whereas values were 0.18, 0.91 and 0.83 m sec<sup>-1</sup> in post-monsoon at Haridwar barrage, Jagjeetpur STP upstream and Jagjeetpur STP downstream, respectively. In pre-monsoon phase, DO at Haridwar barrage and Jagjeetpur STP upstream was 9.3 and 8.85, respectively; whereas at Jagjeetpur STP downstream the value observed

| TRAIL SI THIAICO ANAINIAN DHIMNACHA MA'AALAAN IQAMADIID OL ITTAL QUHIEN IN CAMI MINIMILM |                                 |            |                      |            |                                 |            |
|--|---------------------------------|------------|----------------------|------------|---------------------------------|------------|
| Location   | Water temperature $(^{\circ}C)$ |            | Flow $(m \sec^{-1})$ |            | Dissolved oxygen (mg $L^{-1}$ ) |            |
|  | April, 2017                     | Feb., 2018 | April, 2017          | Feb., 2018 | April, 2017                     | Feb., 2018 |
| Haridwar Barrage   | 21.0                            | 19.0       | 0.43                 | 0.18       |                                 | 97         |
| Jagjeetpur STP upstream  | 21.0                            |            | 0.97                 | 0.91       | 8.85                            | 11.7       |
| Jagjeetpur STP downstream  | 21.5                            | 19.4       | .01                  | 0.83       |                                 | 6.2        |

**Table 2: Physico-chemical parameters at selected locations of river Ganga in Uttarakhand** 

| Location   | ິ                 | Diversity | Saprobic | Biological                | Biological water |
|------------|-------------------|-----------|----------|---------------------------|------------------|
| name       | Month of sampling | score     | score    | water quality             | quality class    |
| Haridwar   | April, 2017       | 0.60      | 7.16     | Clean                     | А                |
| Barrage    | February, 2018    | 0.66      | 7.25     | Clean                     | A                |
| Jagjeetpur | April, 2017       | 0.64      | 6.21     | <b>Slight Pollution</b>   | B                |
| STP U/S    | February, 2018    | 0.77      | 5.94     | <b>Moderate Pollution</b> | C                |
| Jagjeetpur | April, 2017       | 0.48      | 5.75     | Moderate Pollution        | C                |
| STP D/S    | February, 2018    | 0.28      | 2.28     | <b>Heavy Pollution</b>    |                  |

**Table 3: Biology water quality of selected locations of river Ganga in Uttarakhand (India)**

were 4.7 only. Post-monsoon phase revealed a similar trend in DO content at all locations. The respective diversity and saprobic scores at Haridwar barrage were 0.60 and 7.16 in pre-monsoon and 0.66 and 7.25 in post-monsoon sampling; thus, belonged to clean biological water quality (BWQC) class A in both the seasons.

At Jagjeetpur STP upstream, the diversity and saprobic scores in pre-monsoon sampling were 0.64 and 6.21, thereby belonged to slight pollution category (BWQC; class B) while in post-monsoon sampling the saprobic score decreased to 5.94 and consequently the biological water quality decline to moderate pollution (BWQC; class C) level. Biological water quality at Jagjeetpur STP downstream was found to be moderate Polluted (BWQC; class C) in pre-monsoon and heavily polluted (BWQC; class D) [Table 3].

High DO values at Haridwar Barrage and Jagjeetpur STP upstream in both the seasons supports the dominance of pollution sensitive families of order Ephemeroptera and Hemiptera depicting the clean water quality. The complete elimination of pollution sensitive taxa and colonization of pollution tolerant families belonging to the order Coleoptera (saprobic index - 6.0), Diptera (saprobic index - 2.0), Hirudinea (saprobic index - 3.0) and Oligochaeta (saprobic index - 1.0) is correlated with the low DO content of 4.7 and 6.2 in pre- and post-monsoon sampling, respectively, and decline in water quality. Abundance of Chironomidae at this location is clear indicator of organic (sewage) pollution. Duran and Akyildiz (2011) evaluated the benthic macroinvertebrate fauna and water quality of Suleymanli lake (Buldan-Denizli) in Turkey and reported that the benthic macroinvertebrate richness and diversity of Suleymanli lake were moderate but the number of individuals were high. Zeybek *et al*. (2014) studied the use of BMWP and ASPT indices for the evaluation of water quality according to macroinvertebrates in Değirmendere Stream (Isparta, Turkey) and reported that the water quality of Değirmendere stream was unpolluted or slightly polluted according to the physicochemical data and the different versions of BMWP and ASPT. Deo *et al.* (2016) studied the diversity of benthic macroinvertebrates in four seasonal tributaries of river Narmada in the central zone (India) and reported the occurrence of 30 taxa from 8 sampling stations with major taxonomic composition of phylum arthropoda (63%) and moderate pollution at all stations using Shannon-Wiener diversity index. Patang *et al*. (2018) studied benthic macroinvertebrates diversity as bioindicator of water quality of rivers in east Kalimantan (Indonesia) and based on the values of average score per taxon (ASPT) & the national sanitation foundation - water quality index (NSF-WQI), categorized Karang Mumus river as polluted with *Chironomus* sp. and *Melanoides tuberculata* as codominant taxa, Jembayan river as doubtful or moderate quality containing *M. tuberculata* and *A. parvula* as codominant taxa and Pampang river as the cleanest river with Odonata and Baetidae families as codominant taxa.

**Conclusion:** A total of 1560 individuals belonging to 32 families in dominance order: Hydropschyidae (248) > Heptagenidae (155) > Siphloneuridae (131) > Leptophlebidae (91) > Aphelocheridae (64) ~ Physidae (62) ~ Caenidae (61) > Ameletidae (52) were recorded. Individuals of other families were less sparsely distributed constituting about 13% of total benthic population.

The observance of organisms with saprobic index - 10 belonging mainly to Ephemeroptera families (Heptagenidae, Siphloneuridae, Leptophlebidae and Ameletidae) and Hemiptera family (Aphelocheridae) indicated clean water quality at 2 locations i.e. Haridwar barrage and Jagjeetpur STP upstream. An abundance of Chironomidae taxa (493) at Jagjeetpur STP downstream in both the seasons was due to the direct discharge of untreated sewage into the mainstream of river Ganga from STP resulting in sharp decline in dissolved oxygen from 8.85 to 4.7 and 11.7 to 6.2 in both the seasons i.e. pre-monsoon and post-monsoon respectively. The biological water quality at Jagjeetpur STP downstream may improve in future after completion of project for upgrading the existing STP capacity from 27 MLD to 55MLD.

**Acknowledgement:** The authors are thankful to the Central Pollution Control Board, Head Office, New Delhi (India) for providing all the facilities for conducting this research. The support received from the Uttarakhand Environment Protection & Pollution Control Board (UEPPCB), Dehradun and Regional Directorate, CPCB, Roorkee is also acknowledged. The financial assistance received from Rejuvenation of River Ganga project and National Mission for Clean Ganga (NMCG) is gratefully acknowledged.

## **REFERENCES**

- Akolkar, P., Sharma, J., Goel, A., Ahmad, I. and Ahmad, F. 2017a. Method of assessment of biological health of rRiver Ganga. pp. 4-5. **In***: Biological Health of River Ganga.* Central Pollution Control Board, Ministry of Environment, Forest & Climate Change, New Delhi, India.
- Akolkar, P., Ahmad, I. Ahmad, F., Goel, A. and Sharma, J. 2017b. *Benthic Macroinvertebrates of River Ganga*. PR Division, Central Pollution Control Board, Ministry of Environment, Forest & Climate Change, Delhi, India.
- APHA*.* 2012. *Standard Methods for the Examination of Water & Wastewater* (22nd edn.). (Eds. E.W. Rice, R.B. Baird, A.D. Eaton and L.S. Clesceri). American Public Health Association. American Water Works Association, Water Environment Federation, Washington, USA.
- Boyle, T.P. and Fraleigh, H.D. 2003. Natural and anthropogenic factors affecting the structure of the benthic macro-invertebrate community in an effluent-dominated reach of the Santa Cruz river. *Ecological Indicators*, **3**: 93-117.
- Central Pollution Control Board. 1999. Bio-mapping of rivers. Newsletter: Parivesh Envis centre, CPCB, Delhi, India. [*[http://www.cpcbenvis.nic.in/cpcb\\_newsletter/bio%20mapping%](http://www.cpcbenvis.nic.in/cpcb_newsletter/bio%20mapping)20 of%20river.pdf*]
- Chapman, D. and Jackson, J. 1996. Biological monitoring. **In**: *Water Quality Monitoring - A Practical Guide to the Design and Implementation of Freshwater Quality Studies and Monitoring Programmes.* United Nations Environment Program and the World Health Organization, Bartram J, Ballance R (ed). ISBN:0419223207 (Hbk) 0419217304 (Pbk).
- Deo, G.R., Banoo, S., Tehmeena, M., Suniti, D., Ankit, K. and Vipin, V. 2016. Diversity of benthic macro-invertebrates in four tributaries of river Narmada in the Central zone, India*. International Journal of Life Sciences,* **4**: 107-115.
- Duran, M. and Akyildiz, G.K. 2011. Evaluating benthic macroinvertebrate fauna and water quality of Suleymanli lake (Buldan-Denizli) in Turkey. *Acta Zoologica Bulgarica,* **63**: 169-178.
- Füreder, L. and Reynolds, J.D. 2003. Is *Austropotamobius Pallipesa* good bioindicator? *Bulletin Français de la Pêche et de la Pisciculture*, 370-371 (370): 157-163. https://doi.org/10.1051/kmae:2003011
- Graf, W., Malicky, H., Sehmidt-Kloiber, A. 2006. Regional capacity building workshop on the macro-invertebrate's taxonomy and systematics for evaluating the ecological status of rivers in the Hindu Kush-Himalayan (HKH) region (20<sup>th</sup> August-9<sup>th</sup> September, 2006), Kathmandu University, Dhulikhel, Nepal.
- Huh, M.K. 2019. Community analysis and water quality of benthic macro-invertebrates at Gwangseok. *European Journal of Engineering Research and Science,* **4**: 97-100.
- International Standard. *ISO 10870:2012. Water quality - Guidelines for the Selection of Sampling Methods and Devices for Benthic Macro-invertebrates in Fresh Waters.* BSI Standards Limited, Brussels, Belgium.
- Jessup, B.K., Markowitz, A., Stribling, J.B., Friedman, E., LaBelle, K. and Dziepak, N. 2003. *Family*level Key to the Stream Invertebrates of Maryland and Surrounding Areas (3<sup>rd</sup> edn.). Maryland Department of Natural Resources, Maryland, USA.
- Karrouch, L., Chahlaoui, A. and Essahale, A. 2017. Anthropogenic impacts on the distribution and biodiversity of benthic macroinvertebrates and water quality of the Boufekrane river, Meknes, Morocco. *Journal of Geoscience and Environment Protection*, **5**: 173-195.
- Kubendran, T., Selvakumar, C., Sidhu, A.K., Nair, A. and Krishnan, S.M. 2017. Baetidae (Ephemeroptera: Insecta) as biological indicators of environmental degradation in Tamiraparani and Vaigai river basins of Southern Western Ghats, India. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, **6:** 558-572.
- Kumar, A. 2014. Studies on qualitative and quantitative abundance of aquatic entomofauna in glacial fed mountainous Goriganga river of Kumaun Himalaya Uttarakhand, India*. International Research Journal of Environment Science,* **3**(4): 51-63.
- Li, L., Binghui, Z. and Lusan, L. 2010. Biomonitoring and bioindicators used for river ecosystems: Definitions, approaches and trends. *Procedia Environmental Sciences*, **2**: 1510-1524.
- Markert, B., Breure, T. and Zechmeister, H. 2003. Bioindicators and biomonitors Principles, concepts and applications. Elsevier, Amsterdam, the Netherlands.
- Martins, R.T., Stephan, N.N.C. and Alves, R.G. 2008. Tubificidae (Annelida: Oligochaeta) as an indicator of water quality in an urban stream in southeast Brazil. *Acta Limnologica Brasiliensia*, **20**: 221-226.
- Mishra, A.S., Nautiyal, P. and Semwal, V.P. 2013. Distributional patterns of benthic macroinvertebrate fauna in the glacier fed rivers of Indian Himalaya. *Our Nature,* **11**: 36-44.
- Nesemann, H., Sharma, S., Sharma, G., Khanal, S.N., Pradhan, B., Shah, D.N. and Tachamo, R.D. 2007. *Aquatic Invertebrates of the Ganga River System (Mollusca, Annelida and Crustacea)* (1 st edn.). Vol. 1 Chandi Media Pvt. Limited, Kathmandu, Nepal.
- Patnag, F., Soegianto, A. and Hariyanto, S. 2018. Benthic macroinvertebrates diversity as bioindicator of water quality of some rivers in east Alimantan, Indonesia. *International Journal of Ecology,* 11 pages [*https://www.hindawi.com/journals/ijecol/2018/5129421/*].
- Sharma, S. and Sharma, P. 2010. Biomonitoring of aquatic ecosystem with concept and procedures particular reference to aquatic macro-invertebrates. *Journal of American Sciences,* **6**: 1246- 1255.
- Zeybek, M., Kalyoncu, H., Karakas, B. and Ozgul, S. 2014. The use of BMWP and ASPT indices for evaluation of water quality according to macroinvertebrates in Değirmendere Stream (Isparta, Turkey). *Turkish Journal of Zoology,* **38**: 603-613.
- Zwart, D. and Trivedi, R.C. 1995. *Manual on Integrated Water Quality Evaluation (Indo-Dutch Program)*. *Appendix 6: Taxonomical Key for Biological Water Quality Determination*. Report No. 802023003, RIVM, Bithoven, the Netherlands.